

Editorial: biomarkers in HBV and prediction of treatment response

Hepatitis B cure is clinically defined as persistently undetectable HBsAg and hepatitis B virus (HBV) DNA in serum, with or without seroconversion to hepatitis B surface antibody (anti-HBs). Several definitions of HBV cure have been proposed, based on laboratory findings.^{1,2} Prediction of treatment response is a key issue in HBV management. Baseline and on-treatment predictors are needed as stopping rules, especially for pegylated interferon (Peg-IFN). Several new biomarkers such as HBV RNA, quantitative HBsAg (qHBsAg) and quantitative HBV core-related antigen (qHBcrAg) have been evaluated to predict HBeAg and HBsAg loss and relapse after cessation of nucleos(t)ide analogues (NAs).^{1,2}

Lim and colleagues recently described the role of these biomarkers in predicting HBsAg loss in HBeAg-negative patients treated with Peg-IFN with or without NAs.³ The best predictor of HBsAg loss at 72 weeks was qHBsAg <70 IU/mL at week 8 of treatment. Interestingly, baseline characteristics and other biomarkers did not predict treatment response. Also, NA treatment before inclusion in the trial, regardless of type and duration, did not influence biomarker kinetics during or after Peg-IFN treatment.³ After relapse, qHBsAg, HBV RNA and qHBcrAg did not follow HBV DNA dynamics.³

These results differ with others showing the predictive value of baseline and on NA treatment biomarkers. Baseline qHBsAg and rapid decrease in qHBsAg increase the likelihood of HBeAg seroconversion.⁴ In NA-treated patients, the lower the level of baseline HBsAg, the greater the likelihood for HBsAg loss.^{2,5} In HBeAg-positive patients, a $\geq \log$ IU/mL reduction in qHBsAg is associated with HBsAg loss.^{2,6-8} Also, patients achieving levels of qHBsAg below 100-200 IU/mL may be candidates for discontinuation of NAs.^{2,9} According to current guidelines, qHBsAg at 12 or 24 weeks of treatment is used as stopping rule in HBeAg-positive and -negative Peg IFN-treated patients.^{2,10}

In this study, qHBsAg <70 IU/mL at week 8 was the strongest predictor of HBsAg loss in HBeAg-negative patients.³ Will this be used in clinical practice? We must be cautious when interpreting these results, since this study included a selected population: HBeAg-negative patients treated long term with different NAs and

then treated with Peg-IFN.³ Other studies, specifically designed, must confirm these results.

We need predictors to add Peg-IFN to boost antiviral response (increasing HBeAg and HBsAg loss), to stop it early if futile (besides HBsAg levels at week 12 or 24 of treatment) and to stop NAs in HBeAg-positive patients with seroconversion (since 40%-50% relapse) and to stop NAs in HBeAg-negative incidences. Even if baseline and on-treatment biomarkers (HBV DNA, HBV RNA, qHBsAg and HBcrAg) show some positive results, none has a clear impact in clinical practice. There are still many doubts about how to use the biomarkers and their potential role in clinical practice. More studies like this one are needed to better understand their potential role on HBV natural history and treatment response.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Declaration of personal interests: None.

LINKED CONTENT

This article is linked to Lim et al papers. To view these articles, visit <https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.16149> and <https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.16226>

Ezequiel Ridruejo^{1,2,3} 

¹Hepatology Section, Department of Medicine, Centro de Educación Médica e Investigaciones Clínicas, CEMIC, Ciudad Autónoma de Buenos Aires, Argentina

²Hepatology and Liver Transplant Unit, Hospital Universitario Austral, Pilar, Argentina

³Latin American Liver Research Educational and Awareness Network (LALREAN), Pilar, Argentina
Email: eridruejo@gmail.com

ORCID

Ezequiel Ridruejo  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3321-0683>

REFERENCES

- Buti M, Riveiro-Barciela M, Rodríguez-Frías F, Tabernero D, Esteban R. Role of biomarkers in guiding cure of viral hepatitis B. *Semin Liver Dis.* 2020;40:49-60.
- Coffin CS, Zhou K, Terrault NA. New and old biomarkers for diagnosis and management of chronic hepatitis B virus infection. *Gastroenterology.* 2019;156:355-368.

AP&T invited editorial columns are restricted to discussing papers that have been published in the journal. An editorial must have a maximum of 500 words, may contain one table or figure, and should have no more than 10 references. It should be submitted electronically to the Editors via <http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/apt>.

3. Lim SG, Phyo WW, Ling JZJ, et al. Comparative biomarkers for HBsAg loss with antiviral therapy shows dominant influence of quantitative HBsAg (qHBsAg). *Aliment Pharmacol Ther.* 2021;53:172-182.
4. Chen CH, Chiu YC, Lu SN, et al. Serum hepatitis B surface antigen levels predict treatment response to nucleos(t)ide analogues. *World J Gastroenterol.* 2014;20:7686-7695.
5. Yeo YH, Ho HJ, Yang H-I, et al. Factors associated with rates of HBsAg seroclearance in adults with chronic HBV infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Gastroenterology.* 2019;156:635-646.
6. Heathcote EJ, Marcellin P, Buti M, et al. Three-year efficacy and safety of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate treatment for chronic hepatitis B. *Gastroenterology.* 2011;140:132-143.
7. Marcellin P, Buti M, Krastev Z, et al. Kinetics of hepatitis B surface antigen loss in patients with HBeAg-positive chronic hepatitis B treated with tenofovir disoproxil fumarate. *J Hepatol.* 2014;61:1228-1237.
8. Hsu W-F, Chen C-F, Lai H-C, et al. Trajectories of serum hepatitis B surface antigen kinetics in patients with chronic hepatitis B receiving long-term nucleos(t)ide analogue therapy. *Liver Int.* 2018;38:627-635.
9. Chen C-H, Lu S-N, Hung C-H, et al. The role of hepatitis B surface antigen quantification in predicting HBsAg loss and HBV relapse after discontinuation of lamivudine treatment. *J Hepatol.* 2014;61:515-522.
10. European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL 2017 Clinical Practice Guidelines on the management of hepatitis B virus infection. *J Hepatol.* 2017;67:370-398.